The art of Programming Evolutionary Algorithms

Juan-Julián Merelo.

@jjmerelo

U. Granada (Spain)

Get out and smell the air

New is always better

Programming paradigms are changing on a daily basis

GPGPU Cloud computing

NoSQL Map/reduce

Internet of Things

Form should fit function



Tuí

And we should adapt to the

new



Mind your environment

Open source your code and data



Aw, maaaan!

- Open source first, then program
 - Scientific code should be born free.
- Science must be reproductible.
- Easier for others to compare with your approach
 - Increased H
 - Scientist heaven!
- Manifest hidden assumptions.

If you don't chare you don't care ProgrammingEvolutionary Algorithms/9

Minimize bugs via test-driven programming

Tests before code

- What do you want your code to do?
 - Mutate a bit string, for instance.
- Write the test
 - Is the result from mutation different from the original?
 - Of course!
 - But will it be even if you change an upstream function?
 Or the representation?
 - Does it change all bits in the same proportion (including first and last \rightarrow corner cases)?

Control the source of your power

Source control systems save the day

- Source code management systems allow
 Metaheuristi
 - Checkpoints
 - Stygmergic interaction
 - . Individual responsability over code changes
 - Branches
- Distributed are in: git, mercurial, bazaar
- Centralized are *out*: subversion, cvs.
- Instant backup!

cs at work!

Code complete

1) Check out code/Update code

2) Make changes

3) Commit changes (and push to *central* repository)

Go with the Joneses

Use GitHub: http://github.com





Pushing is not the end of the story

- Tests must be run, compilations made, checks and balances checked and balanced.
- . Use Travis or Jenkins
 - If it's good enough for software developers, it's good enough for scientists!
- All this is free if you open source your code
 - Back to #2



Be language agnostic

Language shapes thought

• Don't believe the hype:

Well, they are at running stuff... mostly

- Compiled languages are faster... NOT
- . There is no free lunch.
- Avoid programming in C in every language you use
- Consider scripting languages: Python, Perl, Lua, Ruby, Clojure, Javascript... interpreted languages are faster.

Language agnoticism at its best

Evolving Regular Expressions for GeneChip Probe Performance Prediction

http://www.springerlink.com/content/j3x8r

Unique and beautiful usage of English possessive!

The regular expresions are coded in AWK scripts:

Although this may seem complex, gawk (Unix' free interpreted pattern scanning and processing mmingEvolutionary Algorithms/20

Programming speed >

program speed

Scientists, not software engineers

- Our deadlines are for papers not for software releases (but we have those, too).
- What should be optimized is speed-to-publish.
- Makes no sense to spend 90% time programming 5% writing the paper.
- Scripting languages rock
 - and minimize time-to-publish.

Perl faster than Java?

Algorithm::Evolutionary, a flexible Perl module for evolutionary computation

http://www.springerlink.com/content/8h025g83j0q68270/

- Class-by-class, Perl library much more compact
 - Less code to write.
 - More time to write the paper, perform experiments....
- In pure EC code, Algorithm::Evolutionary was faster than ECJ.

Don't assume:

94 95 96 97 98 99 10 0 101

measure

Performance matters

Basic measure: CPU time as measured by time

```
jmerelo@penny:~/proyectos/CPAN/Algorithm-
  Evolutionary/benchmarks$ time perl onemax.pl
0; time: 0.003274
1; time: 0.005438
[...]
498: time: 1.006539
```

9					NYTProf run_experi	riment_instances.pl - Ch	romium			X	
NYTProf run_experi × 🕀											
📀 📎 🧭 🕼 file:///home/jmerelo/proyectos/CPAN/Algorithm_Mastermind/app/nytprof-v2/index.html 🛛 😭 🔧											
📕 Latest Re	eleas	se N	J 🚱 Fea	dora Project	📄 Fedora Weekly N	™ http://www.ibm.c	🛅 Community Sup	~	🛅 Otros marcadore	s	
Porformanco Profilo Indox											
Performance Profile Index Run on Wed Dec 8 09:43:05 2010											
For run_experiment_instances.pl Reported on Wed Dec 8 09:55:31 2010											
⊢or run_e	npe	1111	ent_mstar	ices.pi			rtopolitoù oli			Ξ	
⊢or run_e	he	71111	ent_mstar	ices.pi						Ξ	
					for 166s (of 407s). exe	ecuting 171728297 st				Ξ	
	un_e	exp	eriment_ir	istances.p	for 166s (of 407s), exe	ecuting 171728297 st	atements and 13126070 s			Ξ	
Profile of ru	un_e	exp	eriment_ir	istances.p	for 166s (of 407s), exe	ecuting 171728297 st				III	
Profile of ru	un_e s and	exp	eriment_ir	istances.p	for 166s (of 407s), exe	ecuting 171728297 st				=	
Profile of ru source files	un_e s and	exp	eriment_ir	istances.p	for 166s (of 407s), exe	ecuting 171728297 st				Ξ	
Profile of ru source files	un_e s ano	expe d 8	eriment_ir 5 string ev	istances.p	for 166s (of 407s), exe	ecuting 171728297 st				H	
Profile of ru source files Jump to file.	un_e s ano	expe d 8	eriment_ir 5 string ev	istances.p /als.	for 166s (of 407s), exe	ecuting 171728297 st				Ξ	
Profile of ru source files Jump to file. Top 15 Su Calls	un_e s and ubroi	expe d 8 outin	eriment_ir 5 string ev es Exclusive Time	istances.p /als. Inclusive Time	for 166s (of 407s), exe	Subroutine	atements and 13126070 s	subroutine		Ξ.	
Profile of ru source files Jump to file. Top 15 Su Calls 2773830	un_e s and ubroi	expe d 8	eriment_ir 5 string ev les Exclusive Time 35.7s	nstances.p rals. Inclusive Time 35.7s		Subroutine Algorithm::Mast	atements and 13126070 s	subroutine		Ξ	
Profile of ru source files Jump to file. Top 15 Su Calls	un_e s and ubroi 4	expe d 8 outin	eriment_ir 5 string ev es Exclusive Time	istances.p /als. Inclusive Time	Algor:	Subroutine	erMind:: <u>check_combinat</u>	subroutine			

27	73830	4	2	35.7s	35.7s	Algorithm::MasterMind:: <u>check_combination</u>
3	96600	1	1	26.9s	26.9s	Algorithm::Evolutionary::Wheel:: <u>first</u>
1	98300	1	1	17.1s	43.9s	Algorithm::Evolutionary::Wheel:: <u>spin</u>
4	05600	2	1	11.6s	12.2s	Algorithm::Evolutionary::Individual::Base:: <u>new</u>
3	96600	1	1	10.8s	31.2s	Algorithm::Evolutionary::Op::String_Mutation::apply
3	96600	2	1	8.87s	9.38s	Algorithm::Evolutionary::Op::Base:: <u>check</u>
6	99000	2	1	6.83s	40.7s	Algorithm::MasterMind::distance_taxicab
6	99000	1	1	6.27s	33.9s	dgorithm::MasterMind::matches
15	93000	1	1	6.18s	27.6s	Algorithm::MasterMind:: <u>check_rule</u>
3	96600	1	1	5.28s	17.2s	Algorithm::Evolutionary::Individual::String::clone
	38	2	1	4.88s	165s	Algorithm::MasterMind::EvoRank:: <u>issue_next</u>
	661	1	1	4.47s	96.1s	Algorithm::Evolutionary::Op::Canonical_GA_NN::apply
	584	3	1	4.06s	18.4s	Algorithm::MasterMind::partitions
1	98300	1	1	3.56s	13.6s	Algorithm::Evolutionary::Op::QuadXOver:: <u>apply</u>
17	18600	4	3	2.48s	2.48s	Algorithm::Evolutionary::Individual::Base:: Fitness
0	11 - 0.0 /	1.4 -		autime e		

See all 2644 subroutines

You can view a treemap of subroutine exclusive time, grouped by package.

NYTProf also generates call-graph files in Graphviz format: inter-package calls, all inter-subroutine calls (probably too complex to render easily).

You can hover over some table cells and headings to view extra information. Some table column headings can be clicked on to sort the table by that column. There's always a better algorithm/ data structure

And differences are huge

- . Sort algorithms are an example
 - Plus, do you need to sort the population?
- Cache fitness evaluations
 - . Cache them permanently in a database?
 - Measure how much fitness evaluation takes
- . Thousand ways of computing fitness
 - How do you compute the MAXONES?
 - \$fitness_of{\$chromosome} = (\$copy_of =~ tr/1/0/);
- Algorithms and data structures interactammingEvolutionary Algorithms/28

Case Study: EAs as software programs

Time analysis of standard evolutionary algorithms as software programs

http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ISDA.2011.6121667

Programs implementing EAs are analyzed; huge improvements can be achieved by changing random number generators or memory usage patterns

Implementation matters!

Learn the tricks of the trade

Res of

Two trades

Evolutionary algorithms



ngEvolutionary Algorithms/3

- Become one with your algorithm.
 - It does not work, but for a different reason that what you think it does
- Programming languages.
 - What function is better implemented?
 - Is there yet another library to do sorting?
 - Where should you go if there's a problem?
- Even a third trade: programming itself.

Case study: sort

- Sorting is routinely used in evolutinary algorithms
 - Roulette wheel, rank-based algorithms
- Faster sorts (in Perl):

http://raleigh.pm.org/sorting.html

- . Sorting implies comparing
- Orcish Manoeuver, Schwartzian transform
- Sort::Key, fastest ever <u>http://search.cpan.org/dist/Sort-Key/</u>



Avoid drowning in data

- Every experiment produces megabytes of data
 - . Timestamps, vectors, arrays, hashes.
 - Difficult to understand after some time.
- Use serialization languages for storing data
 - YAML: Yet another markup language.
 - . JSON: Javascript Object Notation.
 - XML: eXtensible Markup language.
 - [Name your own].

Case study: Mastermind

Entropy-Driven Evolutionary Approaches to the Mastermind Problem

Carlos Cotta et al., http://www.springerlink.com/content/d8414476w2044g2m/

- Output uses YAML.
- Includes:
 - Experiment parameters.
 - Per-run and per-generation data.
 - Final population and run time.

Open coursel (Fellow #21)

When everything fails

visualize

backup your data



Better safe than unpublished

- Get an old computer, and backup everything there.
 - If you do open science, you get that for free!
- In some cases, create virtual machines to reproduce one paper's environment
 - Do you think gcc 3.2.3 will compile your old code?
- Use rsync, bacula or simply cp.
- It's not if your hard disk will fail, it's when.

Cloud colutionary Algorithms/38

Keep stuff together

Where did I left my keys?

- Paper: program + data + graphics + experiment logs + text + revisions + referee reports + presentations.
- Experiments have to be rerun, graphics replotted, papers rewritten.
- Use logs to know which parameters produced which data that produced which graph.
- And put them all in the same directory tree, or use sensible naming conventions.

Consider literate programming

- Literate programming means keeping program and document describing it and results in the same place.
- SWeave and Knitr integrate LaTeX and R in the same document.
 - Check availability for your favorite platform.
- Not the most popular way of writing papers.
- But check also

http://www.executablepapers.com/

Keep a balance between fashions and efficiency



Nurture your code

A moment of joy, a lifetime of grief

- Run tests periodically, or when there is a major upgrade of interpreter, upstream library or OS.
 - . Can be automated.
 - See <u>#6</u>.
- Maintain a roadmap of releases
 - Remember this is *free* software, engage the community.
- Your research is intended for the whole wide world.





http://twitter.com/jjmerelo

http://goo.gl/OFou1

Any (more)

questions?

See you in Evostar 2014, Baeza: http://evostar.org!